

***Excerpt from the trial "People of the State of California v. Orenthal James Simpson"***

---

**Q: Mr. Blasier A: Agent Martz**

Q. You said yesterday that you would have loved to have an internal standard, correct?

A. I don't know about love, but I would like to have a internal standard, yes.

Q. You told me in Washington you would have loved to have one?

A. Yes, one or the other.

Q. That would have made your test from a non-quantitative test to at least some measure of quantitation, correct?

A. I believe I do have some level of quantitation already.

Q. Agent Martz, you testified that this was not designed to be a quantitative test, didn't you?

A. It wasn't, but that doesn't mean that you can use it to quantitate.

Q. What is the purpose of using an internal standard?

A. To get precise quantitation.

Q. Now, you are aware now, aren't you, that there is an internal standard that is commercially available that you could have used?

A. Well, you showed me a book yesterday. I still haven't called the manufacturer to confirm that it is available, but did you do that? Is it available?

Q. Did you look at the chemical description of what was in the book?

A. I didn't look at it very closely, but I saw something that you showed me that you said was EDTA.

Q. And would you like to look at it again?

A. If I could. (Brief pause.)

Q. Agent Martz, let me show you – perhaps we could have a copy of this page as well as the cover marked as the next exhibit.

THE CLERK: 1270.

THE COURT: 1270. What is the title?

MR. BLASIER: "**Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Stable Isotopes, 1994-1995.**"

THE COURT: Thank you.

Q. Agent Martz, let me show you from the bottom of page 49 of that catalog. Does that appear to be an internal standard available that you could have used with your testing?

A. Yes, it does.

Q. How much does it cost?

A. Oh, \$690.00.

Q. How much would you have needed to use?

A. Ah, generally to weigh something you would like at least ten milligrams.

Q. Ten milligrams?

A. Yes.

Q. How much did \$690.00 buy?

A. Was it a gram? I can't remember. A gram. I could have bought the smaller standard, yes.

Q. And what did the smaller standard cost?

A. I can't remember. \$115.00.

THE COURT: All right. Well, Miss Clark and I are confused as to which one is which. All right. I will sustain the objection as being irrelevant because this does deal with injected EDTA, not ingested EDTA. All right. Next, **Cambridge Isotope Laboratories'** two pages. This is the catalog that shows the EDTA compounds, that are research compounds for testing and comparison purposes, correct?

MR. BLASIER: No. That there was an internal standard readily available.

THE COURT: All right. Miss Clark.

MS. CLARK: The objection I had down was insufficient foundation and hearsay, and I can't remember what Dr. Reiders did to lay the foundation for it now. It escapes me.

MR. BLASIER: Dr. Reiders didn't testify about that at all. It was Agent Martz who was shown the catalog and testified that he recognized this as a catalog from **Cambridge** and looked at that page in the catalog and said, yes, that does look like the internal standard that could be used and described what the price was.